[5] Witherspoon, E. B., Higashi, R. M., Schunn, C. D., Baehr, E. C. and Shoop, R. Developing Computational Thinking through a Virtual Robotics Programming Curriculum. *ACM Trans. Comput. Educ.*, 18, 1 (2017), 1-20.

[6] Martin, L. The promise of the Maker Movement for education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 5, 1 (2015), 4.

[7] Rodger, S. H., Hayes, J., Lezin, G., Qin, H., Nelson, D., Tucker, R., Lopez, M., Cooper, S., Dann, W. and Slater, D. 2009. Engaging middle school teachers and students with alice in a diverse set of subjects. In *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 40th ACM technical symposium on Computer science education (SIGCSE)*. ACM, 271-275.

[8] Bienkowski, M., Snow, E., Rutstein, D. W. and Grover, S. *Assessment design patterns for computational thinking practices in secondary computer science: A first look*. SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, 2015.

[9] Grover, S. and Pea, R. Computational Thinking in K–12: A Review of the State of the Field. *Educational Researcher*, 42, 1 (2013), 38-43.

[10] Wing, J. M. Computational Thinking. *Communications of the ACM*, 49, 3 (2006), 33-35.

[11] K12CS *K–12 Computer Science Framework*. 2016.

[12] NRC, N. R. C. *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. The National Academies, Washington, DC, 2011.

[13] Buffum, P. S., Martinez-Arocho, A. G., Frankosky, M. H., Rodriguez, F. J., Wiebe, E. N. and Boyer, K. E. 2014. CS principles goes to middle school: learning how to teach Big Data. *Proceedings of the 45th ACM technical symposium on computer science education (SIGCSE '14)*. ACM, 151-156.

[14] Jona, K., Wilensky, U., Trouille, L., Horn, M., Orton, K., Weintrop, D. and Beheshti, E. 2014. Embedding computational thinking in science, technology, engineering, and math (CT-STEM). *Future Directions in Computer Science Education Summit Meeting*.

[15] Weintrop, D., Beheshti, E., Horn, M., Orton, K., Jona, K., Trouille, L. and Wilensky, U. Defining computational thinking for mathematics and science classrooms. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25, 1 (2016), 127-147.

[16] Grover, S. 2017. Assessing Algorithmic and Computational Thinking in K-12: Lessons from a Middle School Classroom. In *Emerging Research, Practice, and Policy on Computational Thinking. Educational Communications and Technology: Issues and Innovations*. Springer, 269-288.

[17] Grover, S., Cooper, S. and Pea, R. 2014. Assessing computational learning in K-12. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Innovation & technology in computer science education (ITTICSE '14)*. ACM, 57-62.

[18] Shute, V. J., Sun, C. and Asbell-Clarke, J. Demystifying computational thinking. *Educational Research Review*, 22 (2017), 142-158.

[19] Brennan, K. and Resnick, M. 2012. New frameworks for studying and assessing the development of computational thinking. In *Proceedings of the 2012 annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association*. AERA.

[20] Denner, J., Werner, L. and Ortiz, E. Computer games created by middle school girls: Can they be used to measure understanding of computer science concepts? *Computers & Education*, 58, 1 (1// 2012), 240-249.

[21] Tew, A. E. and Guzdial, M. 2011. The FCS1: a language independent assessment of CS1 knowledge. In *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 42nd ACM technical symposium on Computer science education*. ACM, 111-116.

[22] Weintrop, D. and Wilensky, U. 2015. Using Commutative Assessments to Compare Conceptual Understanding in Blocks-based and Text-based Programs. In *International Computing Education Research Conference (ICER '15)*. ACM, 101-110.

[23] Taylor, C., Zingaro, D., Porter, L., Webb, K. C., Lee, C. B. and Clancy, M. Computer science concept inventories: past and future. *Computer Science Education*, 24, 4 (2014), 253-276.

[24] Curzon, P., McOwan, P. W., Plant, N. and Meagher, L. R. 2014. Introducing teachers to computational thinking using unplugged storytelling. In *Proceedings of the 9th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education*. ACM, 89-92.

[25] Román-González, M., Moreno-León, J. and Robles, G. 2017. Complementary tools for computational thinking assessment. In *Proceedings of international conference on computational thinking education (CTE 2017)*. The Education University of Hong Kong, 154-159.

[26] Román-González, M., Pérez-González, J.-C. and Jiménez-Fernández, C. Which cognitive abilities underlie computational thinking? Criterion validity of the Computational Thinking Test. *Computers in Human Behavior* 72 (2016), 678-691.

[27] Román-González, M., Pérez-González, J.-C., Moreno-León, J. and Robles, G. Extending the nomological network of computational thinking with non-cognitive factors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 80 (2018), 441-459.

[28] Alfonso, V. C., Flanagan, D. P. and Radwan, S. *The impact of the Cattell-Horn-Carroll theory on test development and interpretation of cognitive and academic abilities*. Guilford Publications, City, 2005.

[29] McGrew, K. S. CHC theory and the human cognitive abilities project: Standing on the shoulders of the giants of psychometric intelligence research. *Intelligence*, 37, 1 (2009), 1-10.

[30] Ambrósio, A. P., Xavier, C. and Georges, F. 2014. Digital ink for cognitive assessment of computational thinking. In *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*. IEEE, 1-7.

[31] Basawapatna, A. R., Koh, K. H. and Repenning, A. 2010. *Using scalable game design to teach computer science from middle school to graduate school*. In *Proceedings of the fifteenth annual conference on Innovation and technology in computer science education*. ACM, 224-228.

[32] Werner, L., Denner, J. and Campe, S. 2012. *The Fairy Performance Assessment: Measuring Computational Thinking in Middle School*. In *Proceeding of the 44th ACM technical symposium on computer science education (SIGCSE '12)*. ACM, 421-426.

[33] Dagienė, V. and Futschek, G. 2008. Bebras international contest on informatics and computer literacy: Criteria for good tasks. In *International Conference on Informatics in Secondary Schools-Evolution and Perspectives*. Springer, 19-30.

[34] Dagienė, V. and Sentance, S. 2016. It's Computational Thinking! Bebras Tasks in the Curriculum. In *International Conference on Informatics in Schools: Situation, Evolution, and Perspectives*. Springer, 28-39.

[35] Aksit, O. *Enhancing Science Learning through Computational Thinking and Modeling in Middle School Classrooms: A Mixed Methods Study*. Dissertation, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, 2018.

[36] Moreno-León, J. and Robles, G. 2015. Dr. Scratch: A web tool to automatically evaluate Scratch projects. In *Proceedings of the workshop in primary and secondary computing education (WiPSCE '15 )*. ACM, 132-133.

[37] Blokhuis, D., Millican, P., Roffey, C., Schrijvers, E. and Sentance, S. *UK Bebras Computational Thinking Challenge 2016*. University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, 2015.

[38] Barendsen, E., Mannila, L., Demo, B., Nata, Grgurina, A., Izu, C., Mirolo, C., Sentance, S., Settle, A., Gabriel, S. 2015. Concepts in K-9 Computer Science Education. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ITiCSE on Working Group Reports*. ACM, 85-116.

[39] Dagienė, V., Stupurien, G. and Vinikien, L. 2016. Promoting Inclusive Informatics Education Through the Bebras Challenge to All K-12 Students. In *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies 2016*. ACM, 407-414.

[40] Bellettini, C., Lonati, V., Malchiodi, D., Monga, M., Morpurgo, A. and Torelli, M. 2015. How Challenging are Bebras Tasks?: An IRT Analysis Based on the Performance of Italian Students. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*. ACM, 27-32.

[41] Gujberova, M. and Kalas, I. 2013. Designing productive gradations of tasks in primary programming education. In *Proceedings of the 8th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education*. ACM, 108-117.

[42] Hubwieser, P. and Muhling, A. 2014. Playing PISA with Bebras. In *Proceedings of the 9th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education*. ACM, 128-129.

[43] Izu, C., Mirolo, C., Settle, A., Mannila, L. and Stupuriene, G. Exploring Bebras Tasks Content and Performance: A Multinational Study. *Informatics in Education*, 16, 1 (2017), 39-59.

[44] Dagienė, V., Mannila, L., Poranen, T., Rolandsson, L. and Derhjelm, S. 2014. Students' performance on programming-related tasks in an informatics contest in Finland, Sweden and Lithuania. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Innovation; technology in computer science education*. ACM, 153-158.

[45] Fischer, G. H. and Molenaar, I. W. *Rasch models: Foundations, recent developments, and applications*. Springer Science, 2012.

[46] Linacre, J. M. *Winsteps®*. Winsteps.com, 2018.

[47] de Ayala, R. J. *The Theory and Practice of Item Response Theory*. Guilford Press, New York, 2009.

[48] Chalmers, R. P. mirt: A multidimensional item response theory package for the R environment. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48, 6 (2012), 1-29.